

Minimal prime ideals of $\sigma(*)$ -rings and their extensions

V. K. Bhat

School of Mathematics,
SMVD University
Katra, J and K, India-182320
vijaykumarbhat2000@yahoo.com

Abstract

Let R be a right Noetherian ring which is also an algebra over \mathbb{Q} (\mathbb{Q} the field of rational numbers). Let σ be an automorphism of R and δ a σ -derivation of R . Let further σ be such that $a\sigma(a) \in P(R)$ implies that $a \in P(R)$ for $a \in R$, where $P(R)$ is the prime radical of R . In this paper we study minimal prime ideals of Ore extension $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ and we prove the following in this direction:

Let R be a right Noetherian ring which is also an algebra over \mathbb{Q} . Let σ and δ be as above. Then P is a minimal prime ideal of $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ if and only if there exists a minimal prime ideal U of R with $P = U[x; \sigma, \delta]$.

Key Words: Ore extension, automorphism, derivation, minimal prime

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 16N40, 16P40, 16S36.

Introduction and preliminaries

Notation: All rings are associative with identity. Throughout this paper R denotes a ring with identity $1 \neq 0$. The prime radical of R is denoted by $P(R)$. The field of rational numbers is denoted by \mathbb{Q} . The set of prime ideals of R is denoted by $Spec(R)$, the set of minimal prime ideals of R is denoted by $Min.Spec(R)$.

Let R be a right Noetherian ring. Let K be an ideal of a ring R such that $\sigma^m(K) = K$ for some integer $m \geq 1$, we denote $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \sigma^i(K)$ by K^0 .

⁰Supported by University Grants Commission, India (F.NO. 40-485/2011(SR))
The author would like to express his sincere thanks to the referee for remarks.

Ore extensions: Let R be a ring, σ an endomorphism of R and δ a σ -derivation of R ($\delta : R \rightarrow R$ is an additive map with $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)\sigma(b) + a\delta(b)$, for all $a, b \in R$).

For example let σ be an endomorphism of a ring R and $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ any map. Let $\phi : R \rightarrow M_2(R)$ be defined by
$$\phi(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(r) & 0 \\ \delta(r) & r \end{pmatrix},$$
 for all $r \in R$. Then ϕ is a ring homomorphism if and only if δ is a σ -derivation of R .

In case σ is the identity map, δ is called just a derivation of R . For example let $R = F[x]$, where F is a field. The $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ defined by $\delta(f(x)) = \frac{d}{dx}(f(x))$ for all $f(x) \in F[X]$ is a derivation of R .

We denote the Ore extension $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ by $O(R)$. If I is an ideal of R such that I is σ -stable; i.e. $\sigma(I) = I$ and I is δ -invariant; i.e. $\delta(I) \subseteq I$, then we denote $I[x; \sigma, \delta]$ by $O(I)$. We would like to mention that $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ is the usual set of polynomials with coefficients in R , i.e. $\{\sum_{i=0}^n x^i a_i, a_i \in R\}$ in which multiplication is subject to the relation $ax = x\sigma(a) + \delta(a)$ for all $a \in R$. We take coefficients of the polynomials on the right as followed in McConnell and Robson [8].

In case δ is the zero map, we denote the skew polynomial ring $R[x; \sigma]$ by $S(R)$ and for any ideal I of R with $\sigma(I) = I$, we denote $I[x; \sigma]$ by $S(I)$. In case σ is the identity map, we denote the differential operator ring $R[x; \delta]$ by $D(R)$ and for any ideal J of R with $\delta(J) \subseteq J$, we denote $J[x; \delta]$ by $D(J)$.

Ore-extensions (skew-polynomial rings and differential operator rings) have been of interest to many authors. For example see [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8].

Minimal Prime ideals: This article concerns the study of minimal prime ideals of Ore extensions (skew polynomial rings). Recall that a minimal prime ideal in a ring R is any prime ideal of R that does not properly contain any other prime ideal. Regarding minimal prime ideals, we have the following:

Proposition (3.3) of [6]: Any prime ideal U in a ring R contains a minimal prime ideal.

Theorem (3.4) of [6]: In a right Noetherian ring R , there exist only finitely many minimal prime ideals, and there is a finite product of minimal prime ideals (repetition allowed) that equals zero.

Lemma (3.20) of [6]: Let R be a ring, δ a derivation of R . Let U be a minimal prime ideal of R such that R/U has characteristic zero. Then $\delta(U) \subseteq U$.

Lemma (3.4) of [5]: Let R be a right Noetherian ring, which is also an algebra over \mathbb{Q} . Let δ be a derivation of R and U a minimal prime ideal of R . Then $\delta(U) \subseteq U$.

The following result regarding contraction of a minimal prime ideal of differential operator ring $R[x; \delta]$ is due to Gabriel [5].

Proposition (3.3)(b) of [5]: Let R be a right Noetherian ring, which is also an algebra over \mathbb{Q} . Let δ be a derivation of R and P a minimal prime ideal of $D(R) = R[x; \delta]$. Then $P \cap R$ is a minimal prime ideal of R .

Much is not known about the minimal prime ideals of $S(R) = R[x; \sigma]$ or the full Ore extension $O(R) = R[x; \sigma, \delta]$. But we state some facts as follows:

We recall from 10.5.4 of McConnell and Robson [8] that an ideal U of a ring R is called σ -prime (σ is an automorphism of R) if U is σ -stable (i.e. $\sigma(U) = U$) and for σ -stable ideals I, J of R ; $IJ \subseteq U$ implies that $I \subseteq U$ or $J \subseteq U$. The set of σ -prime ideals of R is denoted by $\sigma - Spec(R)$.

Lemma (10.6.4)(ii, iii, iv) of [8]: Let R be a ring and σ an automorphism of R . Then

1. $P \in Spec(S(R))$ and $x \notin P$ implies that $P \in \sigma - Spec(S(R))$
2. $0 \neq P \in Spec(S(R))$ and $x \notin P$ implies that $P \cap R \in \sigma - Spec(R)$
3. $U \in \sigma - Spec(R)$ implies that $U(S(R)) \in \sigma - Spec(S(R))$.

Let R be a right Noetherian ring. We know that $Min.Spec(R)$ is finite (Theorem (3.4) of [6]) and $\sigma^j(U) \in Min.Spec(R)$ for any $U \in Min.Spec(R)$, and for all integers $j \geq 1$, therefore, there exists an integer $m \geq 1$ such that $\sigma^m(U) = U$ for all $U \in Min.Spec(R)$. We denote $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \sigma^i(U)$ by U^0 and note that U^0 is σ -stable and is called σ -cyclic.

Proposition (10.6.12) of [8]: Let R be a right Noetherian ring, σ an automorphism of R and $U \in \sigma - Spec(R)$. Then U is σ -cyclic and $U(S(R)) \in \sigma - Spec(S(R))$.

In Theorems 2.4 and 3.7 of [1] the following has been proved regarding minimal prime ideals of $S(R)$ and $D(R)$ respectively:

1. Let R be a right Noetherian ring and σ an automorphism of R . Then $P \in Min.Spec(S(R))$ if and only if there exists $U \in Min.Spec(R)$ Such that $S(P \cap R) = P$ and $P \cap R = U^0$.
2. Let R be a right Noetherian \mathbb{Q} -algebra and δ a derivation of R . Then $P \in Min.Spec(D(R))$ if and only if $P = D(P \cap R)$ and $P \cap R \in Min.Spec(R)$.

Before we state the main result, we require the following:

$\sigma(*)$ -rings: Recall that in [7], Kwak defines a $\sigma(*)$ -ring R to be a ring in which $a\sigma(a) \in P(R)$ implies $a \in P(R)$ for $a \in R$, where σ is an endomorphism of R .

Example 1 Let $R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$, where F is a field. Then $P(R) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $\sigma : R \rightarrow R$ be defined by $\sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$. Then it can be seen that σ is an endomorphism of R and R is a $\sigma(*)$ -ring.

Example 2 Let $R = \mathbb{C}$, the field of complex numbers. Then $\sigma : R \rightarrow R$ defined by $\sigma(a+ib) = a - ib$ is an automorphism of R and R is a $\sigma(*)$ -ring.

We note that if R is a ring and σ is an endomorphism of R such that R is a $\sigma(*)$ -ring, then R is 2-primal (i.e. the set of nilpotent elements of R and $P(R)$ coincide).

1 Main Results

We now state the main result in the form of the following Theorem:

Theorem A: Let R be a right Noetherian ring, which is also an algebra over \mathbb{Q} . Let σ be an automorphism of R such that R is a $\sigma(*)$ -ring and δ be a σ -derivation of R . Then $P \in \text{Min.Spec}(O(R))$ if and only if there exists $U \in \text{Min.Spec}(R)$ such that $O(P \cap R) = P$ and $(P \cap R) = U$.

Towards the proof of the above Theorem, we require the following:

Recall that an ideal I of a ring R is said to be completely semiprime if $a^2 \in I$ implies that $a \in I$.

Proposition 1 Let R be a right Noetherian ring which is also an algebra over \mathbb{Q} . Let σ be an automorphism of R such that R is a $\sigma(*)$ -ring and δ a σ -derivation of R . Then $\sigma(U) = U$ and $\delta(U) \subseteq U$ for all $U \in \text{Min.Spec}(R)$.

Proof. See Proposition (2.1) of Bhat [3]. To make the paper self contained, we include the proof of this Proposition.

We will first show that $P(R)$ is completely semiprime. Let $a \in R$ be such that $a^2 \in P(R)$. Then

$$a\sigma(a)\sigma(a\sigma(a)) = a\sigma(a)\sigma(a)\sigma^2(a) \in \sigma(P(R)) = P(R).$$

Therefore $a\sigma(a) \in P(R)$ and hence $a \in P(R)$.

We now show that $\sigma(U) = U$ for all $U \in \text{Min.Spec}(R)$. Let $U = U_1$ be a minimal prime ideal of R . Let U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n be the other minimal primes of R . Suppose that $\sigma(U) \neq U$. Then $\sigma(U)$ is also a minimal prime ideal of R . Renumber so that $\sigma(U) = U_n$. Let $a \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} U_i$. Then $\sigma(a) \in U_n$, and so $a\sigma(a) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i = P(R)$. Now $P(R)$ is completely semiprime implies that $a \in P(R)$, and thus $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} U_i \subseteq U_n$, which implies that $U_i \subseteq U_n$ for some $i \neq n$, which is impossible. Hence $\sigma(U) = U$.

Let now $T = \{a \in U \mid \text{such that } \delta^k(a) \in U \text{ for all integers } k \geq 1\}$. First of all, we will show that T is an ideal of R . Let $a, b \in T$. Then $\delta^k(a) \in U$ and $\delta^k(b) \in U$ for all integers $k \geq 1$. Now $\delta^k(a - b) = \delta^k(a) - \delta^k(b) \in U$ for all $k \geq 1$. Therefore $a - b \in T$. Therefore T is a δ -invariant ideal of R .

We will now show that $T \in \text{Spec}(R)$. Suppose $T \notin \text{Spec}(R)$. Let $a \notin T, b \notin T$ be such that $aRb \subseteq T$. Let t, s be least such that $\delta^t(a) \notin U$ and $\delta^s(b) \notin U$. Now there exists $c \in R$ such that $\delta^t(a)c\sigma^t(\delta^s(b)) \notin U$. Let $d = \sigma^{-t}(c)$. Now $\delta^{t+s}(adb) \in U$ as $aRb \subseteq T$. This implies on simplification that $\delta^t(a)\sigma^t(d)\sigma^t(\delta^s(b)) + u \in U$, where u is sum of terms involving $\delta^l(a)$ or $\delta^m(b)$, where $l < t$ and $m < s$. Therefore by assumption $u \in U$ which implies that $\delta^t(a)\sigma^t(d)\sigma^t(\delta^s(b)) \in U$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, our supposition must be wrong. Hence $T \in \text{Spec}(R)$. Now $T \subseteq U$, so $T = U$ as $U \in \text{Min.Spec}(R)$. Hence $\delta(U) \subseteq U$. \square

Recall that an ideal P of a ring R is completely prime if R/P is a domain, i.e. $ab \in P$ implies $a \in P$ or $b \in P$ for $a, b \in R$ (McCoy [9]). In commutative sense completely prime and prime have the same meaning. We also note that every completely prime ideal of a ring R is a prime ideal, but the converse need not be true.

The following example shows that a prime ideal need not be a completely prime ideal.

Example 3 (Example 1.1 of Bhat [4]): Let $R = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \end{pmatrix} = M_2(\mathbb{Z})$. If p is a prime number, then the ideal $P = M_2(p\mathbb{Z})$ is a prime ideal of R , but is not completely prime, since for $a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, we have $ab \in P$, even though $a \notin P$ and $b \notin P$.

Theorem 1 Let R be a Noetherian ring, and σ an automorphism of R . Then R is a $\sigma(\ast)$ -ring if and only if for each minimal prime U of R , $\sigma(U) = U$ and U is completely prime ideal of R .

Proof. See Theorem (2.4) of [2]. \square

Let σ be an endomorphism of a ring R and δ a σ -derivation of R such that $\sigma(\delta(a)) = \delta(\sigma(a))$ for all $a \in R$. Then σ can be extended to an endomorphism (say $\bar{\sigma}$) of $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ by $\bar{\sigma}(\sum_{i=0}^m x^i a_i) = \sum_{i=0}^m x^i \sigma(a_i)$. Also δ can be extended to a $\bar{\sigma}$ -derivation (say $\bar{\delta}$) of $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$

by $\bar{\delta}(\sum_{i=0}^m x^i a_i) = \sum_{i=0}^m x^i \delta(a_i)$.

We note that if $\sigma(\delta(a)) \neq \delta(\sigma(a))$ for all $a \in R$, then the above does not hold. For example let $f(x) = xa$ and $g(x) = xb$, $a, b \in R$. Then

$$\bar{\delta}(f(x)g(x)) = x^2\{\delta(\sigma(a))\sigma(b) + \sigma(a)\delta(b)\} + x\{\delta^2(a)\sigma(b) + \delta(a)\sigma(b)\},$$

but

$$\bar{\delta}(f(x))\bar{\sigma}(g(x)) + f(x)\bar{\delta}(g(x)) = x^2\{\sigma(\delta(a))\sigma(b) + \sigma(a)\delta(b)\} + x\{\delta^2(a)\sigma(b) + \delta(a)\sigma(b)\}.$$

Theorem 2 *Let R be a Noetherian ring which is also an algebra over \mathbb{Q} . Let σ be an automorphism of R and δ a σ -derivation of R such that $\sigma(\delta(a)) = \delta(\sigma(a))$ for all $a \in R$. Then R is a $\sigma(\ast)$ -ring implies that $O(R) = R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ is a Noetherian $\bar{\sigma}(\ast)$ -ring.*

Proof. Let R be a Noetherian ring and σ an automorphism of R such that R is a $\sigma(\ast)$ -ring. We shall prove that $O(R) = R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ is a Noetherian $\bar{\sigma}(\ast)$ -ring. For this we will show that any minimal $P \in \text{Min.Spec}(O(R))$ is completely prime and $\bar{\sigma}(P) = P$.

Let $P \in \text{Min.Spec}(O(R))$. Then by Lemma (2.2) of Bhat [3] $P \cap R \in \text{Min.Spec}(R)$. Now R is a $\sigma(\ast)$ -ring implies that $\sigma(P \cap R) = P \cap R$ and $P \cap R$ is a completely prime ideal of R by Theorem (1). Now Proposition (1) implies that $\delta(P \cap R) \subseteq P \cap R$. Now Theorem (2.4) of Bhat [4] implies that $O(P \cap R)$ is a completely prime ideal of $O(R)$. Now $O(P \cap R) \subseteq P$ implies that $O(P \cap R) = P$ as P is minimal. Now $\sigma(P \cap R) = P \cap R$ implies that $\bar{\sigma}(P) = P$.

Thus $\bar{\sigma}(P) = P$ and P is completely prime for all $P \in \text{Min.Spec}(O(R))$. Moreover $O(R) = R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ is Noetherian by Theorem (2.6) of Goodearl and Warfield [6]. Hence by Theorem (1) $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ is a $\bar{\sigma}(\ast)$ -ring. \square

We are now in a position to prove Theorem A in the form of Theorem (3) below:

Theorem 3 *Let R be a right Noetherian ring which is also an algebra over \mathbb{Q} . Let σ be an automorphism of R such that R is a $\sigma(\ast)$ -ring and δ a σ -derivation of R . Then*

1. *If U is a minimal prime ideal of R , then $O(U)$ is a minimal prime ideal of $O(R)$ and $O(U) \cap R = U$.*
2. *If P is a minimal prime ideal of $O(R)$, then $P \cap R$ is a minimal prime ideal of R .*

Proof. (1) $\sigma(U) = U$ and $\delta(U) \subseteq U$ by Proposition (1). Now it can be easily seen that $O(U) \in \text{Spec}(O(R))$.

(2) We note that σ can be extended to an endomorphism (say $\bar{\sigma}$) of $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ by $\bar{\sigma}(\sum_{i=0}^m x^i a_i) = \sum_{i=0}^m x^i \sigma(a_i)$. Also δ can be extended to a $\bar{\sigma}$ -derivation (say $\bar{\delta}$) of $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ by $\bar{\delta}(\sum_{i=0}^m x^i a_i) = \sum_{i=0}^m x^i \delta(a_i)$.

Now Theorem (2) implies that $O(R) = R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ is a Noetherian $\bar{\sigma}(\ast)$ -ring. Therefore, Proposition (1) implies that $\bar{\sigma}(P) = P$ and $\bar{\delta}(P) \subseteq P$. So $\sigma(P \cap R) = P \cap R$ and $\delta(P \cap R) \subseteq P \cap R$. Now it can be seen that $P \cap R \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and, therefore, $O(P \cap R) \in \text{Spec}(O(R))$. Now $O(P \cap R) \subseteq P$ implies that $O(P \cap R) = P$. \square

References

- [1] V. K. Bhat, *Associated prime ideals of skew polynomial rings*, Beitrage Algebra Geom., Vol. 49(1) (2008), 277-283. MR2410584 (2009e:16046)
- [2] V. K. Bhat and Neetu Kumari, *Transparency of $\sigma(\ast)$ -Rings and Their Extensions*, Int. J. of Algebra, Vol. 2(2008), 919-924. MR2481211 (2010d:16032)
- [3] V. K. Bhat, *On near-pseudo-valuation rings and their extensions*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra, Vol. 5 (2009), 70-77. MR2471380 (2010a:16005)
- [4] V. K. Bhat, *A note on completely prime ideals of Ore extensions*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput., Vol. 20(3) (2010), 457-463. MR2658421 (2011e:16049)
- [5] P. Gabriel, *Representations Des Algebres De Lie Resoulubles (D Apres J. Dixmier)*. In Seminaire Bourbaki,1968-69, Pp 1-22, Lecture Notes In Math. No 179, Berlin 1971 Springer Verlag. Zbl 0225.17004
- [6] K. R. Goodearl and R. B. Warfield Jr., *An introduction to non-commutative Noetherian rings*, Second Edition, Cambridge Uni. Press, 2004. MR2080008 (2005b:16001)
- [7] T. K. Kwak, *Prime radicals of skew-polynomial rings*, Int. J. Math. Sci., Vol. 2(2) (2003), 219-227. MR2061508 (2006a:16035)
- [8] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, Wiley 1987; revised edition: Amer. Math. Soc. 2001. MR1811901 (2001i:16039)
- [9] N. H. McCoy, *Completely prime and completely semi-prime ideals*, In: Rings, modules and radicals, A. Kertesz (ed.), J. Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest 1973, pp 147-152. MR0364358 (51:613)