A correction to the article "On an **Over-Convergence** Phenomenon for Fourier Series. Basic Approach"

Anry Nersessian

In the item 4.3.3 of my work [1], the last formula of **Theorem 3** contains errors. Below is the main part of the item, including the revised proof of Theorem 1, which corresponds to Theorem 3 of [1]. The remaining numbering from [1] is preserved.

4.3.3 The quasi-polynomial representation

If the parameters $\{\lambda_k\}$ and corresponding multiplicities $\{n_k\}$ are known, then (according to (13)) we can use the representation

$$\mathfrak{t}_{r,s} = (-1)^{s-r} \left(\prod_{\substack{p \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{n}} \\ p \neq r}} \frac{s-p}{r-p}\right) \prod_{j \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{m}}} \left(\frac{r-\lambda_j}{s-\lambda_j}\right)^{n_j}, \ s = 0, \pm 1, \dots,$$
(27)

where $r \in \mathfrak{D}_n, \mathfrak{D}_m \subset \mathfrak{D}_n, \{n_q\}$ are corresponding positive integers, and $\sum_{j \in \mathfrak{D}_m} n_j = n, \ \lambda_p \neq \lambda_q \text{ if } p \neq q.$ The following generalizes Theorem 1 for the case $\{\lambda_{r,p}\} = \lambda_p, p \in \mathfrak{D}_m.$

Theorem 1 Suppose the sequence (27) is given. Then the corresponding functions $\{\mathfrak{T}_r\}$ are quasi-polynomials and have the following explicit form

$$\mathfrak{T}_{r}(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{D}_{m}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{j}} c_{r,j,k} \Lambda_{j,k}(x), \ r \in \mathfrak{D}_{n}, \ x \in [-1,1],$$
(28)

where (see (16)) the system $\{\Lambda_{r,k}\}$ consists of the following quasi-polynomials

$$\Lambda_{j,k}(x) = \frac{-\pi}{(k-1)!} \frac{d^{k-1}}{d\,\lambda_j^{k-1}} \left(\csc(\pi\lambda_j)\,\exp(i\,\pi\,\lambda_j\,x)\right),$$

and

$$c_{r,j,k} = \frac{(-1)^r \prod_{p \in \mathfrak{D}_m} (r - \lambda_p)^{n_p}}{(n_j - k)! \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathfrak{D}_n \\ p \neq r}} (r - p)} \frac{d^{n_j - k}}{d\lambda_j^{n_j - k}} \left(\frac{\prod_{\substack{p \in \mathfrak{D}_n \\ p \neq r}} (\lambda_j - p)}{\prod_{\substack{p \in \mathfrak{D}_m \\ p \neq j}} (\lambda_j - \lambda_p)^{n_p}} \right)$$

Proof. The function $T_r(s) = \mathfrak{t}_{r,s}$, considered for $s \in \mathbb{C}$, is rational with poles of order n_j at $s = \lambda_j, j \in \mathfrak{D}_m$.

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected open subset containing all points $\{\lambda_j\}, j \in \mathfrak{D}_m$, with the positively oriented simple boundary curve $\gamma = \partial U$. We have $T_r(s) = (1/s), s \to \infty$, therefore, according to Cauchy's residue theorem

$$0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{T_r(t)}{t-s} dt = T_r(s) + \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{D}_m} \operatorname{Res}_{z=\lambda_j}(\frac{T_r(z)}{z-s}), \ s \in U \setminus \{\lambda_j\}.$$

Let us show how these residues can be explicitly calculated. For given $r \in \mathfrak{D}_n$ and $j \in \mathfrak{D}_m$, the problem is reduced (see (27)) to finding the residues at the point $z = \lambda_j$ for the function

$$W_1(z) = \frac{W(z)}{(z - \lambda_j)^{n_j}}, \text{ where } W(z) = \frac{\prod_{\substack{p \in \mathfrak{D}_n \\ p \neq r}} (z - p)}{(s - z) \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathfrak{D}_n \\ p \neq j}} (z - \lambda_p)^{n_p}}.$$

From here

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\lambda_j} W_1(z) = \frac{1}{(n_j - 1)!} \frac{d^{n_j - 1}}{d\lambda_j^{n_j - 1}} W(\lambda_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} \frac{1}{(n_j - k)! (s - \lambda_j)^k} \frac{d^{n_j - k}}{d\lambda_j^{n_j - k}} \left(\frac{\prod_{\substack{p \in \mathfrak{D}_n} (\lambda_j - p)}{p \neq r}}{\prod_{\substack{p \neq j}} (\lambda_j - \lambda_p)^{n_p}} \right).$$

This implies (see (18) and (21)) the formula (28). \Box

References

 Anry Nersessian, On an Over-Convergence Phenomenon for Fourier Series. Basic Approach, Armen. J. Math., V. 10, N. 9 (2018), pp. 1-22.

Anry Nersessian Institute of mathematics, of National Academy of Sciences of Armenia Bagramian ave. 24B, 0019 Yerevan, Armenia. nerses@instmath.sci.am

Please, cite to this paper as published in Armen. J. Math., V. 11, N. 2(2019), pp. 1–2