

# Cayley-type theorems for $g$ -dimonoids

Yu. M. Movsisyan and M. A. Yolchyan

**Abstract.** In this paper we prove Cayley-type theorems for  $g$ -dimonoids using the left (right) acts of sets and concept of dialgebra.

*Key Words:*  $g$ -dimonoid, dimonoid, act of set, dialgebra, morphism of acts,  $(l, r)$ -morphism of semigroup.

*Mathematics Subject Classification* 2010: 20M30, 03C05

## Introduction

The concepts of a dimonoid and a dialgebra were introduced by Loday [1]. Dimonoids are a tool to study Leibniz algebras [1]. A dimonoid is a set with two binary associative operations satisfying certain additional identities. A dialgebra is a linear analogy of a dimonoid. The concept of a  $g$ -dimonoid (a generalized dimonoid) is introduced in [2]. The Cayley-type theorems for dimonoids are proved in [3].

In this paper two Cayley-type theorems for  $g$ -dimonoid are suggested.

**Definition 1** An algebra  $(X; \prec, \succ)$  with two binary operations is called  $g$ -dimonoid [2] if it satisfies the following four identities of associativity:

$$(x \prec y) \prec z = x \prec (y \prec z), \quad (A_1)$$

$$(x \prec y) \prec z = x \prec (y \succ z), \quad (A_2)$$

$$(x \prec y) \succ z = x \succ (y \succ z), \quad (A_3)$$

$$(x \succ y) \succ z = x \succ (y \succ z). \quad (A_4)$$

**Definition 2** A  $g$ -dimonoid  $(X; \prec, \succ)$  is called dimonoid [1] if it satisfies the following additional identity of associativity, too:

$$(x \succ y) \prec z = x \succ (y \prec z). \quad (A_5)$$

Let us give two examples of a dimonoid.

**Example 1** Let  $(X; \prec)$  be a zero semigroup, that is  $x \prec y = 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $0$  is a fixed element of the set  $X$ . For fixed elements  $a, b$  of the set  $X$ , where  $a \neq 0, b \neq 0, a \neq b$ , we define on  $X$  the operation  $\succ$ , assuming

$$x \succ y = \begin{cases} a, & x = y = b, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . It is easy to see that  $(X; \prec, \succ)$  is a dimonoid.

**Example 2** Let  $X \neq \emptyset$ . Define the following operations on the set  $X$ :

$$x \succ y = y,$$

$$x \prec y = x,$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then the algebra  $(X; \prec, \succ)$  is a dimonoid.

Let us give an example of a  $g$ -dimonoid which is not a dimonoid.

**Example 3** Let  $X$  be an arbitrary nonempty set,  $|X| \geq 2$ , and let  $X^*$  be the set of all finite nonempty words in the alphabet  $X$ . Denote the first (respectively, the last) letter of a word  $\omega \in X^*$  by  $\omega^{(0)}$  (respectively, by  $\omega^{(1)}$ ). Define the following operations  $\prec, \succ$  on  $X^*$ :

$$\omega \prec u = \omega^{(0)},$$

$$\omega \succ u = u^{(1)},$$

for all  $\omega, u \in X^*$ . It is easy to check that the binary algebra  $(X^*; \prec, \succ)$  is a  $g$ -dimonoid, but is not a dimonoid.

**Definition 3** Let  $S$  be a semigroup,  $X \neq \emptyset$ . The map  $(\cdot) : S \times X \rightarrow X; (s, x) \mapsto s \cdot x$  is called a left  $S$ -act of  $X$  if the identity  $(st) \cdot x = s \cdot (t \cdot x)$  holds.

**Definition 4** Let  $S$  be a semigroup,  $X \neq \emptyset$ . The map  $(\cdot) : X \times S \rightarrow X; (x, s) \mapsto x \cdot s$  is called a right  $S$ -act of  $X$  if the identity  $x \cdot (st) = (x \cdot s) \cdot t$  holds.

**Definition 5** Let the map  $(\cdot)$  be a left  $S$ -act of  $X$  and the map  $(\circ)$  be a left  $S$ -act of  $Y$ : The map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is called morphism of that left  $S$ -acts if  $\varphi(s \cdot x) = s \circ \varphi(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $s \in S$ .

A morphism of right  $S$ -acts can be defined in a similar way. Let  $(S; \bullet)$  be a semigroup,  $X \neq \emptyset$ . We can interpret the operation  $(\bullet)$  as a left and a right  $S$ -act of  $S$ .

**Definition 6** Let  $(S; \bullet)$  be a semigroup,  $X \neq \emptyset$ , and maps  $(\cdot)$  and  $(\circ)$  are respectively left and right  $S$ -acts of  $X$ ; then the map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow S$  is called  $(l, r)$ -morphism of semigroup  $S$  if the following conditions are valid:

$$\varphi(s \cdot x) = s \bullet \varphi(x),$$

$$\varphi(x \circ s) = \varphi(x) \bullet s,$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $s \in S$ .

**Definition 7** A dialgebra is a vector space over a field equipped with two binary bilinear operations satisfying the axioms of a dimonoid [1].

For the second order formulae (and the second order languages) see [4, 5, 6]. Let us recall, that a hyperidentity [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12] (or  $\forall(\forall)$ -identity) is a second-order formula of the following form:

$$\forall X_1, \dots, X_m \forall x_1, \dots, x_n (\omega_1 = \omega_2),$$

where  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  are words (terms) in the alphabet of functional variables  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  and objective variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . However hyperidentities are usually presented without universal quantifiers:  $\omega_1 = \omega_2$ . A hyperidentity  $\omega_1 = \omega_2$  is said to be satisfied in the algebra  $(Q; \Sigma)$  if this equality holds whenever every object variable  $x_j$  is replaced by an arbitrary element from  $Q$  and every functional variable  $X_i$  is replaced by an arbitrary operation of the corresponding arity from  $\Sigma$ . A possibility of such replacement is assumed, that is:

$$\{|X_1|, \dots, |X_m|\} \subseteq \{|A| \mid A \in \Sigma\} = T_{(Q, \Sigma)} = T_{(\Sigma)},$$

where  $|S|$  is the arity of  $S$ , and  $T_{(Q, \Sigma)}$  is called the arithmetic type of  $(Q; \Sigma)$ . A  $T$ -algebra is an algebra with arithmetic type  $T \subseteq N$ . A class of algebras is called a class of  $T$ -algebras if every algebra in it is a  $T$ -algebra.

**Definition 8** An algebra  $(Q; \Sigma)$  is called idempotent, if the following hyperidentity of idempotency is valid:

$$X(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_n) = x, \tag{id}$$

for all  $n \in T_{(Q; \Sigma)}$ .

The hyperidentity is said to be non-trivial if  $m > 1$ , and it is trivial if  $m = 1$ . The number  $m$  is called the functional rank of the given hyperidentity.

A binary algebra  $(Q; \Sigma)$  is said to be a  $q$ -algebra ( $e$ -algebra) if there is an operation  $A \in \Sigma$  such that  $Q(A)$  is a quasigroup (a groupoid with a unit).

A binary algebra  $(Q; \Sigma)$  is called non-trivial if  $|\Sigma| > 1$ . It is known [7, 8] (see also [9, 13]) that if an associative non-trivial hyperidentity is satisfied in a non-trivial  $q$ -algebra ( $e$ -algebra), then this hyperidentity can only be of the functional rank 2 and of one of the following forms:

$$X(x, Y(y, z)) = Y(X(x, y), z), \quad (asm)_1$$

$$X(x, Y(y, z)) = X(Y(x, y), z), \quad (asm)_2$$

$$Y(x, Y(y, z)) = X(X(x, y), z). \quad (asm)_3$$

Moreover, in the class of  $q$ -algebras ( $e$ -algebras) the hyperidentity  $(asm)_3$  implies the hyperidentity  $(asm)_2$  which, in its turn, implies the hyperidentity  $(asm)_1$ .

The algebra  $(Q; \Sigma)$  is called hyperassociative, if it satisfies the hyperidentity of associativity  $(asm)_1$ .

**Example 4** Let  $A, B$  be nonempty sets,  $\Sigma$  be the set of all mappings from  $B$  to  $A$ , and  $Q$  be the set of all mappings from  $A$  to  $B$ . Then every element  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  can be considered as a binary operation on  $Q$ ,

$$\alpha(a, b) = a \cdot \alpha \cdot b,$$

where  $a, b \in Q$  and  $a \cdot \alpha \cdot b$  is the usual superposition of mappings. So we obtain hyperassociative algebra  $(Q; \Sigma)$ . Moreover, if  $A = B$  we obtain second degree (order) algebra  $(Q; \Sigma; \cdot)$  in the sense of [14].

Thus, hyperassociative algebras are algebras with semigroup operations. Hyperassociative algebras under the name of  $\Gamma$ -semigroups (gamma-semigroups) or doppelsemigroups were considered by various authors [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25].

Note that a  $g$ -dimonoid  $(Q; \prec, \succ)$  is hyperassociative iff  $(A_5)$  and the following identity of associativity hold:

$$(x \prec y) \succ z = x \prec (y \succ z). \quad (A_6)$$

## 1 Main results

A Cayley-type theorem for dimonoids was proved in [3]. In this chapter we prove a more general result.

**Theorem 1** Let  $S$  be a semigroup,  $X \neq \emptyset$ , and maps  $(\cdot)$  and  $(\circ)$  be left and right  $S$ -acts of  $X$  respectively, and let the map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow S$  be a  $(l, r)$ -morphism of semigroup  $S$ . Define the operations  $\succ$  and  $\prec$  as follows:

$$\succ : X \times X \rightarrow X, \quad x \succ y := \varphi(x) \cdot y, \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$

$$\prec: X \times X \rightarrow X, \quad x \prec y := x \circ \varphi(y), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

Then the algebra  $(X; \prec, \succ)$  is a  $g$ -dimonoid, which we denote by  $C_S(X)$  and call Cayley's  $g$ -dimonoid.

Conversely, for any arbitrary  $g$ -dimonoid  $G$  there exists a semigroup  $S$ , and  $X \neq \emptyset$ , and left and right  $S$ -acts of  $X$ , and an  $(l, r)$ -morphism of semigroup  $S$  such that the  $g$ -dimonoid  $G$  coincides with  $C_S(X)$ , i.e.  $G = C_S(X)$ .

**Proof.** Let us prove the first part of the result. For this, it suffices to verify the following four identities:

$$(A_1) \quad (x \prec y) \prec z = (x \circ \varphi(y)) \prec z = (x \circ \varphi(y)) \circ \varphi(z) = x \circ (\varphi(y)\varphi(z)) = x \circ \varphi(\varphi(y) \cdot z) = x \prec (\varphi(y) \cdot z) = x \prec (y \succ z),$$

$$(A_2) \quad (x \prec y) \prec z = (x \prec y) \circ \varphi(z) = (x \circ \varphi(y)) \circ \varphi(z) = x \circ (\varphi(y)\varphi(z)) = x \circ \varphi(\varphi(y) \cdot z) = x \prec (\varphi(y) \cdot z) = x \prec (y \succ z),$$

$$(A_3) \quad (x \prec y) \succ z = (x \circ \varphi(y)) \succ z = \varphi(x \circ \varphi(y)) \cdot z = (\varphi(x)\varphi(y)) \cdot z = \varphi(x) \cdot (\varphi(y) \cdot z) = x \succ (\varphi(y) \cdot z) = x \succ (y \succ z),$$

$$(A_4) \quad (x \succ y) \succ z = (\varphi(x) \cdot y) \succ z = \varphi(\varphi(x) \cdot y) \cdot z = (\varphi(x)\varphi(y)) \cdot z = \varphi(x) \cdot (\varphi(y) \cdot z) = x \succ (\varphi(y) \cdot z) = x \succ (y \succ z).$$

Hence, the algebra  $(X; \prec, \succ)$  is a  $g$ -dimonoid and  $(X; \prec, \succ) = C_S(X)$ .

Now we prove the second part of the result. Let the algebra  $D = (D; \prec, \succ)$  be a  $g$ -dimonoid. We denote by  $\sim$  the least congruence on  $D$  for which the quotient  $g$ -dimonoid is a semigroup. It is clear that  $\sim$  is the congruence generated by the relation  $\sigma = \{(a, a), (a \succ b, a \prec b), (a \prec b, a \succ b) : a, b \in D\}$ . Let  $x \sim y$ . According to the definition of the congruence, we can say that there exist  $b_1, c_1, \dots, b_n, c_n \in D$  and  $o_1, o'_1, \dots, o_n, o'_n \in \{\succ, \prec\}$  such that the following condition holds:

$$x = (b_1 o_1 c_1) \sigma (b_1 o'_1 c_1) = (b_2 o_2 c_1) \sigma (b_2 o'_2 c_2) = \dots = (b_n o_n c_n) \sigma (b_n o'_n c_n) = y.$$

According to the identities  $A_3, A_4$ , we have:

$$(b_i o_i c_i) \succ a = (b_i o'_i c_i) \succ a, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \forall a \in D.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} x \succ a &= (b_1 o_1 c_1) \succ a = (b_1 o'_1 c_1) \succ a = \dots = (b_n o_n c_n) \succ a = \\ &= (b_n o'_n c_n) \succ a = y \succ a \rightarrow x \succ a = y \succ a, \quad \forall a \in D. \end{aligned}$$

According to the identities  $A_1, A_2$ , we have  $a \prec x = a \prec y, \forall a \in D$ , i.e.

$$x \sim y \rightarrow x \succ a = y \succ a \ \& \ a \prec x = a \prec y, \quad \forall a \in D.$$

It means, that the congruence  $\sim$  is contained in the congruence:

$$\tau = \{(x, y) \in D \times D \mid x \succ a = y \succ a \ \& \ a \prec x = a \prec y, \forall a \in D\}.$$

Now let us pick any congruence  $\theta$  which satisfies the condition  $\sim \subseteq \theta \subseteq \tau$ . Hence  $D/\theta$  is an algebra with two binary operations, which are equal, because  $\theta$  contains the congruence  $\sim$ . So  $D/\theta$  is a semigroup, which we denote by  $S$ , and for arbitrary  $x \in D$  we denote by  $[x]$ , the class of the congruence  $\theta$  that contains  $x$ . Define the maps:

$$\cdot : S \times D \rightarrow D, \quad [x] \cdot y := x \succ y, \quad (1)$$

$$\circ : D \times S \rightarrow D, \quad x \circ [y] := x \prec y. \quad (2)$$

The correctness of definitions of the maps  $\cdot$  and  $\circ$  follows from the fact that  $\theta \subseteq \tau$ . We now check that the maps  $\cdot$  and  $\circ$  are left and right S-acts of  $D$ , respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} ([a][b]) \cdot x &= [a \prec b] \cdot x \stackrel{(1)}{=} (a \prec b) \succ x \stackrel{(A_3)}{=} \\ &a \succ (b \succ x) \stackrel{(1)}{=} a \succ ([b] \cdot x) \stackrel{(1)}{=} [a] \cdot ([b] \cdot x), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x \circ ([a][b]) &= x \circ [a \succ b] \stackrel{(2)}{=} x \prec (a \succ b) \stackrel{(A_2)}{=} \\ &(x \prec a) \prec b \stackrel{(2)}{=} (x \circ [a]) \prec b \stackrel{(2)}{=} (x \circ [a]) \circ [b]. \end{aligned}$$

We now consider the map  $\Phi : D \rightarrow S, \Phi(x) = [x]$  and show that it is a  $(l, r)$ -morphism of  $S$ :

$$\Phi([a] \cdot x) = \Phi(a \succ x) = [a \succ x] = [a][x] = [a]\Phi(x),$$

$$\Phi(x \circ [a]) = \Phi(x \prec a) = [x \prec a] = [x][a] = \Phi(x)[a].$$

Note, that the conditions of the first part of the theorem are satisfied, so  $(D; \prec', \succ') = C_S(D)$  where:

$$\succ' : D \times D \rightarrow D, x \succ' y := \Phi(x) \cdot y, \quad \forall x, y \in D,$$

$$\prec' : D \times D \rightarrow D, x \prec' y := x \circ \Phi(y), \quad \forall x, y \in D.$$

Also note that:

$$\succ' = \succ,$$

$$\prec' = \prec,$$

hence  $(D; \prec', \succ') = C_S(D)$ .  $\square$

Let  $K$  be a field,  $X$  be a nonempty set:  $X = \{x_i \mid i \in I\}$ . Denote  $G := \{\{\alpha_i x_i \mid i \in I\} \mid \exists J \subset I, |I \setminus J| < \infty, \alpha_j = 0, \forall j \in J, \alpha_i \in K\}$ , where  $\alpha_i x_i$  is just symbol. For any  $x_t \in X$ , considering  $x_t = \{\alpha_i x_i \mid i \in I\}$ , where  $\alpha_t = 1$ , and  $\alpha_i = 0$  if  $i \neq t$ , we obtain that  $X \subseteq G$ . Now we define the operation  $+$  on  $G$ :

$$\{\alpha_i x_i \mid i \in I\} + \{\beta_i x_i \mid i \in I\} = \{(\alpha_i + \beta_i)x_i \mid i \in I\}.$$

Because  $K(+)$  is an abelian group,  $G(+)$  will be an abelian group, too. We now define the operation  $\cdot : K \times G \rightarrow G$  as follows:

$$\alpha \cdot \{\alpha_i x_i \mid i \in I\} = \{(\alpha \alpha_i)x_i \mid i \in I\}.$$

Then  $G(+)$  with operation  $\cdot$  will be a free  $K$ -module, which we denote by  $K[X]$ . It is easy to see, that if  $D$  is a dimonoid, then  $K[D]$  is a dialgebra. This fact is used in the proof of the following result.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup satisfying the following additional identity:*

$$xyz = tlp. \quad (*)$$

*Define the operations  $\succ$  and  $\prec$  on  $S \times S$  in the following way:*

$$(g, h) \prec (k, l) = (gk, hk), \quad (op_1)$$

$$(g, h) \succ (k, l) = (gk, gl), \quad (op_2)$$

*where  $(g, h), (k, l) \in S \times S$ . Then  $\overline{S} = (S \times S; \prec, \succ)$  is a  $g$ -dimonoid, which satisfies the following hyperidentity:*

$$X(x, Y(y, z)) = Z(T(t, l), p). \quad (**)$$

*In particular the  $g$ -dimonoid  $\overline{S}$  will be hyperassociative.*

*Conversely, for any  $g$ -dimonoid  $D$  with the hyperidentity  $(**)$  there exists a semigroup  $H$  with the identity  $(*)$  such that  $D$  is isomorphically embedded into  $\overline{H}$ .*

**Proof.** For proving the first part of the assertion, we use the previous theorem. Namely, we define the left and right  $S$ -acts of  $S \times S$ :

$$a \cdot (b, c) = (ab, ac),$$

$$(b, c) \circ a = (ba, ca).$$

We also define the operation  $\varphi : S \times S \rightarrow S, (a, b) \mapsto a$  and check that the map  $\varphi$  is a  $(l, r)$ -morphism of  $S$ :

$$\varphi(a \cdot (b, c)) = \varphi((ab, ac)) = ab = a\varphi((b, c)),$$

$$\varphi((b, c) \circ a) = \varphi((ba, ca)) = ba = \varphi((b, c))a.$$

Then

$$(g, h) \prec (k, l) = (gk, hk) = (g, h) \circ k = (g, h) \circ \varphi(k, l),$$

$$(g, h) \succ (k, l) = (gk, gl) = g \cdot (k, l) = \varphi(g, h) \cdot (k, l),$$

for all  $(g, h), (k, l) \in S \times S$ . Now according to Theorem 1, we obtain, that  $\overline{S}$  is a  $g$ -dimonoid. Using the identity (\*), it is easy to check that  $\overline{S}$  satisfies the hyperidentity (\*\*). We should check the following cases:

1.  $(g, h) \prec ((k, l) \succ (p, t)) = ((m, n) \prec (r, s)) \succ (u, v),$
2.  $(g, h) \prec ((k, l) \succ (p, t)) = ((m, n) \prec (r, s)) \prec (u, v),$
3.  $(g, h) \prec ((k, l) \succ (p, t)) = ((m, n) \succ (r, s)) \succ (u, v),$
4.  $(g, h) \prec ((k, l) \succ (p, t)) = ((m, n) \succ (r, s)) \prec (u, v),$
5.  $(g, h) \succ ((k, l) \succ (p, t)) = ((m, n) \prec (r, s)) \succ (u, v),$
6.  $(g, h) \succ ((k, l) \succ (p, t)) = ((m, n) \prec (r, s)) \prec (u, v),$
7.  $(g, h) \succ ((k, l) \succ (p, t)) = ((m, n) \succ (r, s)) \succ (u, v),$
8.  $(g, h) \succ ((k, l) \succ (p, t)) = ((m, n) \succ (r, s)) \prec (u, v),$
9.  $(g, h) \prec ((k, l) \prec (p, t)) = ((m, n) \prec (r, s)) \succ (u, v),$
10.  $(g, h) \prec ((k, l) \prec (p, t)) = ((m, n) \prec (r, s)) \prec (u, v),$
11.  $(g, h) \prec ((k, l) \prec (p, t)) = ((m, n) \succ (r, s)) \succ (u, v),$
12.  $(g, h) \prec ((k, l) \prec (p, t)) = ((m, n) \succ (r, s)) \prec (u, v),$
13.  $(g, h) \succ ((k, l) \prec (p, t)) = ((m, n) \prec (r, s)) \succ (u, v),$
14.  $(g, h) \succ ((k, l) \prec (p, t)) = ((m, n) \prec (r, s)) \prec (u, v),$
15.  $(g, h) \succ ((k, l) \prec (p, t)) = ((m, n) \succ (r, s)) \succ (u, v),$
16.  $(g, h) \succ ((k, l) \prec (p, t)) = ((m, n) \succ (r, s)) \prec (u, v).$

Indeed:

1.

$$(g, h) \prec ((k, l) \succ (p, t)) = (g, h) \prec (kp, kt) = (gkp, hkp) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \\ (mru, mrv) = (mr, nr) \succ (u, v) = ((m, n) \prec (r, s)) \succ (u, v);$$



2.

$$(g, h) \prec ((k, l) \succ (p, t)) = (g, h) \prec (kp, kt) = (gkp, hkp) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \\ (mru, nru) = (mr, nr) \prec (u, v) = ((m, n) \prec (r, s)) \prec (u, v);$$

3.

$$(g, h) \prec ((k, l) \succ (p, t)) = (g, h) \prec (kp, kt) = (gkp, hkp) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \\ (mru, mrv) = (mr, ms) \succ (u, v) = ((m, n) \succ (r, s)) \succ (u, v);$$

4.

$$(g, h) \prec ((k, l) \succ (p, t)) = (g, h) \prec (kp, kt) = (gkp, hkp) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \\ (mru, msu) = (mr, ms) \prec (u, v) = ((m, n) \succ (r, s)) \prec (u, v);$$

5.

$$(g, h) \succ ((k, l) \succ (p, t)) = (g, h) \succ (kp, kt) = (gkp, gkt) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \\ (mru, mrv) = (mr, nr) \succ (u, v) = ((m, n) \prec (r, s)) \succ (u, v);$$

6.

$$(g, h) \succ ((k, l) \succ (p, t)) = (g, h) \succ (kp, kt) = (gkp, gkt) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \\ (mru, nru) = (mr, nr) \prec (u, v) = ((m, n) \prec (r, s)) \prec (u, v);$$

7.

$$(g, h) \succ ((k, l) \succ (p, t)) = (g, h) \succ (kp, kt) = (gkp, gkt) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \\ (mru, mrv) = (mr, ms) \succ (u, v) = ((m, n) \succ (r, s)) \succ (u, v);$$

8.

$$(g, h) \succ ((k, l) \succ (p, t)) = (g, h) \succ (kp, kt) = (gkp, gkt) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \\ (mru, msu) = (mr, ms) \prec (u, v) = ((m, n) \succ (r, s)) \prec (u, v);$$

9.

$$(g, h) \prec ((k, l) \prec (p, t)) = (g, h) \prec (kp, lp) = (gkp, hkp) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \\ (mru, mrv) = (mr, nr) \succ (u, v) = ((m, n) \prec (r, s)) \succ (u, v);$$

10.

$$(g, h) \prec ((k, l) \prec (p, t)) = (g, h) \prec (kp, lp) = (gkp, hkp) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \\ (mru, nru) = (mr, nr) \prec (u, v) = ((m, n) \prec (r, s)) \prec (u, v);$$

11.

$$(g, h) \prec ((k, l) \prec (p, t)) = (g, h) \prec (kp, lp) = (gkp, hkp) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \\ (mru, mrv) = (mr, ms) \succ (u, v) = ((m, n) \succ (r, s)) \succ (u, v);$$

12.

$$(g, h) \prec ((k, l) \prec (p, t)) = (g, h) \prec (kp, lp) = (gkp, hkp) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \\ (mru, msu) = (mr, ms) \prec (u, v) = ((m, n) \succ (r, s)) \prec (u, v);$$

13.

$$(g, h) \succ ((k, l) \prec (p, t)) = (g, h) \succ (kp, lp) = (gkp, glp) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \\ (mru, mrv) = (mr, nr) \succ (u, v) = ((m, n) \prec (r, s)) \succ (u, v);$$

14.

$$(g, h) \succ ((k, l) \prec (p, t)) = (g, h) \succ (kp, lp) = (gkp, glp) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \\ (mru, nru) = (mr, nr) \prec (u, v) = ((m, n) \prec (r, s)) \prec (u, v);$$

15.

$$(g, h) \succ ((k, l) \prec (p, t)) = (g, h) \succ (kp, lp) = (gkp, glp) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \\ (mru, mrv) = (mr, ms) \succ (u, v) = ((m, n) \succ (r, s)) \succ (u, v);$$

16.

$$(g, h) \succ ((k, l) \prec (p, t)) = (g, h) \succ (kp, lp) = (gkp, glp) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \\ (mru, msu) = (mr, ms) \prec (u, v) = ((m, n) \succ (r, s)) \prec (u, v).$$

Now, we turn to the proof of the second part of the theorem. Let  $D = (D; \prec, \succ)$  be a  $g$ -dimonoid satisfying the hyperidentity (\*\*). By  $D^0$  we denote  $D$  with an adjoined element  $0$  (called zero) such that  $x*0 = 0 = 0*x$ , for all  $x \in D^0$ , and  $*$   $\in \{\succ, \prec\}$ . Let  $K$  be a field and  $H = (D/\theta)^0 \times K[D^0]$  (we invoke the notation from the proof of Theorem 1). On  $H$  we define a binary operation  $\bullet$ :

$$([a], x) \bullet ([b], y) = ([a \succ b], (a \succ y) + (x \prec b)),$$

for all  $a, b, x, y \in D^0$ . This operation is well-defined, because for a congruence  $\theta$  we have:

$$a\theta a' \rightarrow a \succ y = a' \succ y \ \& \ x \prec a = x \prec a',$$

for all  $x, y \in D$ .

We now check, that  $\bullet$  is associative:

$$\begin{aligned} (([a], x) \bullet ([b], y)) \bullet ([c], z) &= ([a \succ b], (a \succ y) + (x \prec b)) \bullet ([c], z) = \\ &= ([a \succ b \succ c], (a \succ b \succ z) + (((a \succ y) + (x \prec b)) \prec c)) = \\ &= ([a \succ b \succ c], (a \succ b \succ z) + ((a \succ y) \prec c) + (x \prec b \prec c)) \stackrel{(A_5)}{=} \\ &= ([a \succ b \succ c], (a \succ b \succ z) + (a \succ (y \prec c)) + (x \prec b \prec c)) = \\ &= ([a \succ b \succ c], (a \succ ((b \succ z) + (y \prec c))) + (x \prec b \prec c)) = \\ &= ([a], x) \bullet ([b \succ c], (b \succ z) + (y \prec c)) = \\ &= ([a], x) \bullet (([b], y) \bullet ([c], z)). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $H(\bullet)$  is a semigroup with zero element  $([0], 0)$ . Define a map  $f : D \rightarrow \overline{H}$  in the following way:

$$f(x) = (([x], 0), ([0], x)).$$

It is easy to see, that  $f$  is an injective map. Furthermore:

$$\begin{aligned} f(a) \succ f(b) &= (([a], 0), ([0], a)) \succ (([b], 0), ([0], b)) = \\ &= (([a], 0) \bullet ([b], 0), ([a], 0) \bullet ([0], b)) = \\ &= (([a \succ b], (a \succ 0) + (0 \prec b)), ([0], (a \succ b) + (0 \prec 0))) = \\ &= (([a \succ b], 0), ([0], a \succ b)) = f(a \succ b). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain  $f(a) \prec f(b) = f(a \prec b)$  in a similar way. Hence,  $f$  is a monomorphism of the  $g$ -dimonoids. Then  $D$  is isomorphically embedded into  $\overline{H}$ . Using the hyperidentity (\*\*), it is easy to show that the semigroup  $\overline{H}$  satisfies the identity (\*). Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} ([g], x_g) \bullet ([k], x_k) \bullet ([t], x_t) &= ([g \succ k], (g \succ x_k) + (x_g \prec k)) \bullet ([t], x_t) = \\ &= ([g \succ k \succ t], (g \succ k \succ x_t) + ((g \succ x_k) \prec t) + ((x_g \prec k) \prec t)) \stackrel{(**)}{=} \\ &= ([h \succ r \succ l], (h \succ r \succ x_l) + ((h \succ x_r) \prec l) + ((x_h \prec r) \succ l)) = \\ &= ([h \succ r], (h \succ x_r) + (x_h \prec r)) \bullet ([l], x_l) = ([h], x_h) \bullet ([r], x_r) \bullet ([l], x_l). \end{aligned}$$

□

## 2 Corollaries

**Corollary 1** ([3]) Let  $S$  be a semigroup and  $X$  be both a left  $S$ -act and a right  $S$ -act with commuting actions, and let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow S$  be a  $(l, r)$ -morphism of  $S$ . Then the set  $X$  with two binary operations  $\prec$  and  $\succ$  defined by the following rules:

$$x \prec y := x \circ \varphi(y),$$

$$x \succ y := \varphi(x) \cdot y,$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , is a dimonoid. Conversely, any dimonoid can be so constructed.

**Corollary 2** (Cayley-type theorem for idempotent  $g$ -dimonoids) Let  $S$  be a semigroup and  $X \neq \emptyset$ , let the maps  $(\cdot)$  and  $(\circ)$  be left and right  $S$ -acts respectively, let the map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow S$  be a  $(l, r)$ -morphism of  $S$  which satisfies the following condition:

$$\varphi(x) \cdot x = x \circ \varphi(x) = x, \forall x \in X. \quad (\#)$$

In this case  $C_S(X)$  is an idempotent  $g$ -dimonoid.

Conversely, for any arbitrary idempotent  $g$ -dimonoid  $G$  there exists a semigroup  $S$ , and  $X \neq \emptyset$ , a left and a right  $S$ -acts of  $X$ , and a  $(l, r)$ -morphism of  $S$ , which satisfies  $(\#)$  such that  $G$  coincides with  $C_S(X)$ , i.e.  $G = C_S(X)$ .

**Corollary 3** (Cayley-type theorem for hyperassociative  $g$ -dimonoids) Let  $S$  be a semigroup and  $X \neq \emptyset$ , let the maps  $(\cdot)$  and  $(\circ)$  be respectively left and right  $S$ -acts, let the map  $\Phi : X \rightarrow S$  be a  $(l, r)$ -morphism of  $S$  which satisfies the following conditions:

$$(\varphi(x)\varphi(y)) \cdot z = x \circ (\varphi(y)\varphi(z)), \quad \forall x, y, z \in X, \quad (\#\#)$$

$$(\varphi(x) \cdot y) \circ \varphi(z) = \varphi(x) \cdot (y \circ \varphi(z)), \quad \forall x, y, z \in X. \quad (\#\#\#)$$

In this case  $C_S(X)$  is a hyperassociative  $g$ -dimonoid.

Conversely, for any arbitrary hyperassociative  $g$ -dimonoid  $G$  there exists a semigroup  $S$ , a non empty set  $X$ , and a left and a right  $S$ -acts of  $X$ , and a  $(l, r)$ -morphism of  $S$ , which satisfies  $(\#\#)$  and  $(\#\#\#)$ , that  $G$  coincides with  $C_S(X)$ , i.e.  $G = C_S(X)$ .

**Corollary 4** Let  $S$  be a commutative semigroup. Define the operations  $\succ$  and  $\prec$  on  $S \times S$  as in  $(op_1)$  and  $(op_2)$ . Then  $\overline{S} = (S \times S; \prec, \succ)$  is a  $g$ -dimonoid, which satisfies the following identity:

$$x \succ y = y \prec x. \quad (***)$$

Conversely, for any  $g$ -dimonoid  $D$  with the condition  $(***)$  there exists a commutative semigroup  $H$  such that  $D$  is isomorphically embedded into  $\overline{H}$ .

**Definition 9** A  $g$ -dialgebra is a vector space over a field equipped with two binary bilinear operations satisfying the axioms of a  $g$ -dimonoid.

**Open problem 1.** Prove a Cayley-type theorem for  $g$ -dialgebras.

**Open problem 2.** Characterize the free  $g$ -dialgebras.

**Acknowledgments.** This research is partially supported by the State Committee of Science of the Republic of Armenia, grants: 10-3/1-41, 18T-1A306.

## References

- [1] J. L. Loday, *Dialgebras. Dialgebras and Related Operads*, Lect. Notes Math., Springer, Berlin, (2001), pp. 7–66.

- [2] Yu. M. Movsisyan, S. Davidov, M. Safaryan, *Construction of free  $g$ -dimonoids*, Algebra Discrete Math., **18** (2014), no. 1, pp. 138–148.
- [3] A. V. Zhuchok, A. B. Gorbatkov, *On the structure of dimonoids*, Semigroup Forum, (2016), pp. 194–203.
- [4] A. Church, *Introduction to Mathematical Logic Vol.1*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1956.
- [5] A. I. Mal'tsev, *Some questions of the theory of classes of models* (in Russian), Proceedings of the IVth All-Union Mathematical Congress, **1**, (1963), pp. 169–198.
- [6] A. I. Mal'tsev, *Algebraic systems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1973.
- [7] Yu. M. Movsisyan, *Introduction to the theory of algebras with hyperidentities* (in Russian), Yerevan State University Press, 1986.
- [8] Yu. M. Movsisyan, *Hyperidentities and hypervarieties in algebras* (in Russian), Yerevan State University Press, 1990.
- [9] Yu. M. Movsisyan, *Hyperidentities in algebras and varieties*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **53** (1), (1998), pp. 61–114, Russian Math. Surveys, **53** (1), (1998), pp. 57–108.
- [10] Yu. M. Movsisyan, *Hyperidentities and Related Concepts. I*, Armen. J. Math. **2**, (2017), pp. 146–222.
- [11] Yu. M. Movsisyan, *Hyperidentities and Related Concepts. II*, Armen. J. Math. **4**, (2018), pp. 1–85.
- [12] L. A. Skorniyakov (Editor), *General algebra. V. 2* (in Russian), Moscow, 1991.
- [13] Yu. M. Movsisyan, *Hyperidentities and hypervarieties*, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae, **54** (2001), no. 3, pp. 595–640.
- [14] Yu. M. Movsisyan, *Biprimitive classes of algebras of second degree* (in Russian), Matematicheskie Issledovaniya **9** (1974), pp. 70–84.
- [15] W. E. Barnes, *On  $\Gamma$ -rings of Nobusawa*, Pacific J. Math. **3** (1966), pp. 411–422.
- [16] N. Kehayopulu, *On regular duo  $po$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroups*, Math. Slovaca **61** (2011), No. 6, pp. 871–884.

- [17] J. Luh, *On the theory of simple  $\Gamma$ -rings*, Michigan Math. J. **16** (1969), pp. 65–75.
- [18] N. Nobusawa, *On a generalization of the ring theory*, Osaka J. Math. **1**(1964), pp. 81–89.
- [19] S. K. Sardar, S. Gupta, K. P. Shum,  *$\Gamma$ -semigroups with unities and Morita equivalence for monoids*, European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **6** (2013), no. 1, pp. 1–10.
- [20] M. K. Sen, *On  $\Gamma$ -semigroup*, In: Algebra and Its Applications, New Delhi, (1981), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., Dekker, New York, **91** (1984), pp. 301–308.
- [21] M. K. Sen, N. K. Saha, *On  $\Gamma$ -semigroup-I*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **78** (1986), pp. 180–186.
- [22] A. Seth,  *$\Gamma$ -group congruences on regular  $\Gamma$ -semigroups*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **15** (1992), no. 1, pp. 103–106.
- [23] M. K. Sen, S. Chattopadhyay,  *$\Gamma$ -Semigroups. A Survey*, In Book: Algebra and Its Applications, (2016).
- [24] A. V. Zuchok, *Relatively free doppelsemigroups*, Potsdam University Press, (2018).
- [25] A. V. Zuchok, Y. V. Zhuchok, J. Koppitz, *Free rectangular doppelsemigroups*, J. Algebra Appl. September (2019), DOI: 10.1142/SO219498820502059.

Yuri Movsisyan  
*Yerevan State University,*  
*Alex Manoogian 1, 0025 Yerevan, Armenia.*  
movsisyan@ysu.am

Marlen Yolchyan  
*Yerevan State University,*  
*Alex Manoogian 1, 0025 Yerevan, Armenia.*  
marlen.yolchyan94@gmail.com

**Please, cite to this paper as published in**  
Armen. J. Math., V. **12**, N. 3(2020), pp. 1–14