

Tauberian Theorems by Weighted Summability Method

Valdete Loku and Naim L. Braha

Abstract. In this paper, we will show a new Tauberian theorems defined by weighted Nörlund-Cesáro summability method.

Key Words: Weighted Nörlund-Cesáro summability; One-sided and two-sided Tauberian conditions.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 40G15, 41A36.

Introduction

Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ be an infinite series with sequence of partial sums (s_n) . The $(C, 1)$ (see [3], page 7) transform is defined as the n -th partial sum of $(C, 1)$ summability and is given by

$$\frac{s_0 + s_1 + \cdots + s_n}{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n s_k \rightarrow s \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1)$$

then the infinite series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ is summable to the definite number s by $(C, 1)$ method.

Let $\{p_n\}$ be a non-negative, non increasing sequence such that

$$P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \cdots + p_n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, P_{-1} = p_{-1} = 0.$$

Then the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ is said to be almost Nörlund summable to S (or (N, p_n) -summable) if

$$\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_{n-v} s_v \rightarrow S,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The product of (N, p_n) summability and $(C, 1)$ summability defines (N, p_n) $(C, 1)$ summability and we denote it by $N_n^p C_n^1$. Thus if

$$N_n^p C_n^1 = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k s_v \rightarrow s \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2)$$

where N_n^p denotes the (N, p_n) transform of s_n and C_n^1 denotes the $(C, 1)$ transform of s_n , then the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ is said to be summable by $(N, p_n)(C, 1)$ means or summable $(N, p_n)(C, 1)$ to a definite number s . The (N, p_n) is a regular method of summability.

$$s_n \rightarrow s \Rightarrow C_n^1(s_n) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n s_k \rightarrow s, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

C_n^1 method is regular,

$$N_n^p(C_n^1(s_n)) = N_n^p C_n^1 \rightarrow s, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$N_n^p C_n^1$ method is regular.

We say that the sequence (x_n) is Nörlund-Cesáro summable to L by the weighted mean method determined by the sequences (p_n) , or briefly $(N, p_n)(C, 1)$ -summable if

$$\lim_n N_n^p C_n^1(x) = L. \quad (3)$$

In this case we will write $L = N_n^p C_n^1 - \lim_n x_n$. We denote by $N_n^p C_n^1$ the set of all sequences which are summable $N_n^p C_n^1$. If

$$\lim_n x_n = a \quad (4)$$

exists, then (3) also exists. However, the converse is not always true. We can show by the following example

Example 1 Let us consider that $p_n = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also we define the following sequence $x = (x_k) = (-1)^k$, then we have

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k (-1)^v \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

And as we know $x = (x_k)$, is not convergent.

Notice that (3) may imply (4) under a certain condition, which is called a Tauberian condition. Any theorem which states that convergence of sequences follows from its $(N, p_n)(C, 1)$ -summability and some Tauberian condition is said to be a Tauberian theorem for the $(N, p_n)(C, 1)$ -summability method.

The theory of Tauberian is extensively studied by many authors([1, 2], [4, 5], [7]). In this paper our aim is to find conditions (so-called Tauberian) under which the converse implication holds, for defined convergence. Exactly, we will prove under which conditions convergence of sequences (x_n) , follows from $N_n^p C_n^1$ -convergence. This method generalized method given in [5] and [7], it is shown on the following example.

Example 2 Let us consider that $x_n = n$, then $N_n^p C_n^1$ reduces to the Nörlund method defined in [5] and [7].

1 Main results

In this paper we will generalize Hardy's Tauberian theorem (see [3]) and obtain new Tauberian theorems for the weighted $(N, p_n)(C, 1)$ -summability method. Let $u = (u_n)$ be a sequence of real numbers. The classical control modulo of the oscillatory behavior of (u_n) is denoted by $\omega_n^{(0)}(u) = n\Delta u_n = n(u_n - u_{n-1})$. The general control modulo of the oscillatory behavior of order 1 of (u_n) is defined by

$$\omega_n^{(1)}(u) = \omega_n^{(0)}(u) - \sigma_n^{(1)}(\omega_n^{(0)}(u)),$$

where $\sigma_n^{(1)}(u) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n u_k$. And identity

$$u_n - \sigma_n^{(1)}(u) = V_n^{(0)}\Delta u,$$

where $V_n^{(0)}\Delta u = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n k\Delta u_k$, is known as Kronecker identity. In our case the above definitions are as follows:

$$\omega_{n,p}^{(1)}(u) = \omega_{n,p}^{(0)}(u) - \sigma_{n,p}^{(1)}(\omega_{n,p}^{(0)}(u)),$$

where $\sigma_{n,p}^{(1)}(u) = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k u_v$.

We will start from theorem of Hardy,

Theorem 1 ([3]) *If (x_n) is (\overline{N}, p) summable to x and*

$$\omega_{n,p}^{(0)}(x) = o(1)$$

then (x_n) converges to x .

Theorem 2 [7] *If $(\sigma_{n,p}^{(1)}(u))$ is (\overline{N}, p) summable to s and the condition*

$$\omega_{n,p}^{(m)}(u) = o(1)$$

holds, then (u_n) converges to s .

Now we are ready to formulate our results which are generalization of the result given above.

Theorem 3 *If*

$$\liminf_n \frac{P_{t_n}}{P_n} > 1, \quad t > 1 \tag{5}$$

where t_n , denotes the integer parts of the $[t \cdot n]$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let (x_k) be a sequence of real numbers which converges to L , via $(N, p_n)(C, 1)$ -

summability method. Then (x_k) is convergent to the same number L if and only if the following two conditions holds:

$$\sup_{t>1} \liminf_n \frac{1}{P_{t_n} - P_n} \sum_{j=n+1}^{t_n} p_j \frac{1}{j+1} \sum_{v=0}^j (x_v - x_n) \geq 0 \quad (6)$$

and

$$\sup_{0<t<1} \liminf_n \frac{1}{P_n - P_{t_n}} \sum_{j=t_n+1}^n p_j \frac{1}{j+1} \sum_{v=0}^j (x_n - x_v) \geq 0. \quad (7)$$

In what follows we will show some auxiliary lemmas which are needful in the sequel.

Lemma 1 Condition given by relation (5) is equivalent to this one:

$$\liminf_n \frac{P_n}{P_{t_n}} > 1, \quad 0 < t < 1. \quad (8)$$

Proof. We omit it, because it is similar to the lemma 1, given in [6]. \square

Proposition 1 Let us suppose that relation (5) is satisfied and let $x = (x_k)$ be a sequence of complex numbers which is Nörlund-Cesáro summable to L . Then

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{P_{t_n} - P_n} \sum_{j=n+1}^{t_n} p_j \frac{1}{j+1} \sum_{v=0}^j x_j = L, \quad \text{for } t > 1 \quad (9)$$

and

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{P_n - P_{t_n}} \sum_{j=t_n+1}^n p_j \frac{1}{j+1} \sum_{v=0}^j x_j = L, \quad \text{for } 0 < t < 1. \quad (10)$$

Proof. (I) Let us consider the case where $t > 1$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{P_{t_n} - P_n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{t_n} p_k \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k x_v = \\ & \frac{P_{t_n}}{P_{t_n} - P_n} \frac{1}{P_{t_n}} \sum_{k=0}^{t_n} p_k \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k x_v - \frac{P_n}{P_{t_n} - P_n} \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k x_v \\ & = \frac{1}{P_{t_n}} \sum_{k=0}^{t_n} p_k \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k x_v + \frac{P_n}{P_{t_n} - P_n} \times \\ & \times \left[\frac{1}{P_{t_n}} \sum_{k=0}^{t_n} p_k \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k x_v - \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k x_v \right]. \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

By relation (5) we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n}{P_{t_n} - P_n} = \frac{1}{\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{t_n}}{P_n} - 1} < \infty.$$

Now relation (9), follows from relation (11) and assumed convergence of $N_n^p C_n^1$.

(II) In this case we have that $0 < t < 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{P_n - P_{t_n}} \sum_{k=t_n+1}^n p_k \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k x_v = \\ & \frac{P_n}{P_n - P_{t_n}} \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k x_v - \frac{P_{t_n}}{P_n - P_{t_n}} \frac{1}{P_{t_n}} \sum_{k=0}^{t_n} p_k \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k x_v \\ & = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k x_v + \\ & + \frac{P_n}{P_n - P_{t_n}} \left[\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k x_v - \frac{1}{P_{t_n}} \sum_{k=0}^{t_n} p_k \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k x_v \right]. \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

Now relation (10), follows from relations (12), (8) and assumed convergence of $N_n^p C_n^1$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3

Proof. Necessity. Let us suppose that $\lim_k x_k = L$, and $\lim_n N_n^p C_n^1(x) = L$. For every $t > 1$ following Proposition 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_n \frac{1}{P_{t_n} - P_n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{t_n} p_k \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k (x_v - x_n) = \\ \lim_n \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{P_{t_n} - P_n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{t_n} p_k \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k x_v \right) - x_n \right\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In case where $0 < t < 1$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_n \frac{1}{P_n - P_{t_n}} \sum_{k=t_n+1}^n p_k \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k (x_n - x_v) = \\ \lim_n \left\{ x_n - \left(\frac{1}{P_n - P_{t_n}} \sum_{k=t_n+1}^n p_k \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k x_v \right) \right\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Sufficient: Assume that conditions (6) and (7) are satisfied. In what follows we will prove that $\lim_n x_n = L$. Given any $\epsilon > 0$, by relation (6) we can choose $t_1 > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_n \frac{1}{P_{t_{n_1}} - P_n} \sum_{j=n+1}^{t_{n_1}} p_j \frac{1}{j+1} \sum_{v=0}^j (x_v - x_n) \geq -\epsilon, \quad (13)$$

where $t_{n_1} = [t_1 \cdot n]$. By the assumed summability $N_n^p C_n^1$ of (x_n) , Proposition 1, for $t > 1$ and taking into account relation (13), we obtain

$$\limsup_n x_n \leq L + \epsilon. \quad (14)$$

On the other hand, if $0 < t < 1$, for every $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose $0 < t_2 < 1$ such that

$$\liminf_n \frac{1}{P_n - P_{t_{n_2}}} \sum_{j=t_{n_2}+1}^n p_j \frac{1}{j+1} \sum_{v=0}^j (x_n - x_j) \geq -\epsilon, \quad (15)$$

where $t_{n_2} = [t_2 \cdot n]$. By the assumed summability $N_n^p C_n^1$ of (x_n) , Proposition 1, for $0 < t < 1$ and relation (15), we get

$$\liminf_n x_n \geq L - \epsilon. \quad (16)$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, combining relations (14) and (16) yields the convergence

$$\lim_n x_n = L.$$

□

In the next result we will consider the case where $x = (x_n)$ is a sequence of complex numbers.

Theorem 4 *Let us suppose that relation (5) is satisfied. And (x_n) be a sequence of complex numbers, which is $N_n^p C_n^1$ -summable to L . Then (x_n) is convergent to the same number L if and only if the following two conditions holds:*

$$\inf_{t>1} \limsup_n \left| \frac{1}{P_{t_n} - P_n} \sum_{j=n+1}^{t_n} p_k \frac{1}{j+1} \sum_{v=0}^j (x_v - x_n) \right| = 0 \quad (17)$$

and

$$\inf_{0<t<1} \limsup_n \left| \frac{1}{P_n - P_{t_n}} \sum_{j=t_n+1}^n p_k \frac{1}{j+1} \sum_{v=0}^j (x_n - x_v) \right| = 0. \quad (18)$$

Proof. Necessity: Let us suppose that relations (3) and (4) are satisfied. Than by Proposition 1, we get relation (17), for $t > 1$ and relation (18), for $0 < t < 1$.

Sufficient: Let us suppose that relation (5), (3) and (17). are satisfied. Then for any given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $t_3 > 1$ such that

$$\limsup_n \left| \frac{1}{P_{t_{n_3}} - P_n} \sum_{j=n+1}^{t_{n_3}} p_k \frac{1}{j+1} \sum_{v=0}^j (x_v - x_n) \right| \leq \epsilon,$$

where $t_{n_3} = [t_3 \cdot n]$. Taking into account fact that (x_n) is $N_n^p C_n^1$ summable we get the following estimation

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_n |L - x_n| &\leq \limsup_n \left| L - \frac{1}{P_{t_{n_3}} - P_n} \sum_{j=n+1}^{t_{n_3}} p_k \frac{1}{j+1} \sum_{v=0}^j x_v \right| + \\ &\limsup_n \left| \frac{1}{P_{t_{n_3}} - P_n} \sum_{j=n+1}^{t_{n_3}} p_k \frac{1}{j+1} \sum_{v=0}^j (x_v - x_n) \right| \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have $\lim_n x_n = L$. Second case is similar to the first one and we omit it. \square

Remark 1 *Theorem 2.3 is generalization of the theorem 2.2, because in the theorem 2.2 are given conditions for Tauberian theorem for Nörlund-Cesaro summability method $(N, p_n)(C, 0)$ and in theorem 2.3 are given conditions for Tauberian theorem for Nörlund-Cesaro summability method $(N, p_n)(C, 1)$.*

Acknowledgment Author's would like to thank referees for comments and remarks given in the paper.

References

- [1] N.L. Braha, *Tauberian conditions under which λ - statistical convergence follows from statistical summability (V, λ)* , Miskolc Math. Notes Vol. 16 (2015), No. 2, pp. 695-703.
- [2] N.L. Braha, *Tauberian Theorems under Nörlund-Cesáro summability methods (357-411)*, Current Topics in Summability Theory and Applications, editors, Hemen Dutta and Billy E. Rhoades, Springer, 2016.
- [3] G. H. Hardy, *Divergent series*, Oxford At the Clarendon Press, 1949.

- [4] F. Moricz, *Tauberian conditions, under which statistical convergence follows from statistical summability $(C, 1)$* . J. Math. Anal. Appl. 275 (2002), no. 1, 277-287.
- [5] F. Moricz and C. Orhan, *Tauberian conditions under which statistical convergence follows from statistical summability by weighted means*. Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 41 (2004), no. 4, 391-403.
- [6] F. Moricz F. and B.E. Rhoades, *Necessary and sufficient Tauberian conditions for certain weighted mean methods of summability II.*, Acta Mathematica Hungarica, 102(4):279-285 (2004).
- [7] U. Totur and I. Canak, *Some general Tauberian conditions for the weighted mean summability method*. Comput. Math. Appl. 63 (2012), no. 5, 999-1006.

Valdete Loku
Department of Management for Industry,
Street Rexhep Bislimi, University of Applied Sciences Ferizaj, 70000, Kosova
valdeteloku@gmail.com

N. L. Braha
Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences,
Avenue Mother Teresa, No=5, University of Prishtina, 10000, Kosova
nbraha@yahoo.com

Please, cite to this paper as published in
Armen. J. Math., V. **9**, N. 1(2017), pp. 35–42