Armenian Journal of Mathematics Volume 6, Number 2, 2014, 53–63

Existence of Solutions for Semilinear Integro-differential Equations of p-Kirchhoff Type

E. Cabanillas Lapa *, W. Barahona M.** , B. Godoy T.*** and G. Rodriguez V.†

Instituto de Investigación, Facultad de Ciencias Matemáticas-UNMSM, Lima, Perú

* cleugenio@yahoo.com

** wilbara_73@yahoo.es

*** bgodoyt@unmsm.edu.pe

† grodriguezv@unmsm.edu.pe

Abstract

In our research we will study the existence of weak solutions to the problem

$$-[M(||u||_{1,p}^{p})]^{p-1}\Delta_{p}u = f(x,u) + \int_{\Omega} k(x,y)H(u)dy \text{ in } \Omega,$$

with zero Dirichlet boundary condition on a bounded smooth domain of \mathbb{R}^n , 1 ; <math>M, f, k and H are given functions. By means of the Galerkin method and using of the Brouwer Fixed Point theorem we get our results. The uniqueness of a weak solution is also considered.

Key Words: p-Kirchhoff type equations; variational methods; boundary value problems Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 35J60, 35J35, 35J70, 35J65

Introduction

The following equation

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \left(\frac{\rho_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^2 dx\right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0,\tag{1}$$

presented by Kirchhoff in 1883 [13], is an extension of the classical D'Alembert's wave equation by considering the effects of the changes in the length of the string during the vibrations. The parameters in (1) have the following meanings: L is the length of the string, h is the area of the cross-section, E is the Young modulus of the material, ρ is the mass density and P_0 is the initial tension. A distinguishing feature of equation (1) is that the equation contains a nonlocal coefficient $\frac{\rho_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L |\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}|^2 dx$ which depends on the average $\frac{1}{2L} \int_0^L |\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}|^2 dx$, and hence the equation is no longer a pointwise identity. Some early classical studies of Kirchhoff equations were of Bernstein [7] and Pohožaev [18]. The equation

$$-\left(a+b\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} dx\right)\Delta u = f(x,u) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$
(2)

is related to the stationary analogue of the equation (1). Equation (1) received much attention only after Lions [20] proposed an abstract framework to the problem. Problems like (2) can be used for modelling several physical and biological systems where u describes a process which depends on the average of it self, such as the population density (See [12] and its references therein). Some important and interesting results can be found, for example, in [3, 11, 16]. Recently Alves et al. [4] and Ma and Rivera [23] have obtained positive solutions of such problems by variational methods.

An interesting generalization of problem (2) is

$$-\left[M\left(\|u\|_{1,p}^{p}\right)\right]^{p-1}\Delta_{p}u = f(x,u) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
$$u = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, and $-\Delta_p u$ is the *p*-Laplacian: $-\Delta_p u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$, and $\|.\|_{1,p}$ is the usual norm

$$||u||_{1,p}^p = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx$$

in the Sobolev space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Correa and Nascimento [8], Liu et al [24], Yang and Chang [25], Correa and Figueiredo [9], and more recently Molica Bisci and Radulescu [15] studied questions on the existence of positive solutions.

In [25] Yang and Zhang studied the following problem

$$-\left[M\left(\|u\|_{1,p}^{p}\right)\right]^{p-1}\Delta_{p}u = \lambda f(x,u) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where p < N, they have established existence and multiplicity of solutions for the problem under suitable assumptions on M and f. In [10] Correa and Nascimento considered the following nonlocal elliptic system of p-Kirchhoff type

$$-\left[M_1\left(\|u\|_{1,p}^p\right)\right]^{p-1}\Delta_p u = \lambda f(x,u) + h_1(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$-\left[M_2\left(\|v\|_{1,p}^p\right)\right]^{p-1}\Delta_p v = \lambda g(x,v) + h_2(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

(3)

with suitable hypotheses on M_i , h_i (i = 1, 2), f and g. The authors have proved the existence of a weak solution for (3).

In this paper we are interested in the following semilinear integro-differential equation of p-Kirchhoff type

$$-\left[M\left(\|u\|_{1,p}^{p}\right)\right]^{p-1}\Delta_{p}u = f(x,u) + \int_{\Omega}k(x,y)H(u(y))dy \quad \text{in }\Omega,$$

$$u = 0, \quad \text{on }\partial\Omega,$$
(4)

with the following conditions:

M) the function $M : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous function and there is a constant $m_0 > 0$ such that

$$M(t) \ge m_0$$
 for all $t \ge 0$.

F) $f(x,t):\overline{\Omega}\times\mathbb{R}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and satisfies the subcritical condition

$$|f(x,t)| \le c_1(|t|^{q-1}+1)$$
 for some $p < q < p^* = \begin{cases} \frac{Np}{N-p} & \text{if } N \ge 3, \\ +\infty & \text{if } N = 1, 2. \end{cases}$

H) $H \in C(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$|H(s)| \le c_2 |s|^r, \qquad r \in \langle 1; p-1 \rangle.$$

K)

k(x,y) is a non-positive $L^p(\Omega \times \Omega)$ function.

The nonlocal term $\int_{\Omega} k(x, y) H(u) dy$, with k = k(x), appears in numerous physical models such as systems of particles in thermodynamical equilibrium via gravitational (Coulomb) potential, 2-D fully turbulent behavior of real flow, thermal runaway in Ohmic Heating, shear bands in metal deformed under high strain rates, see [22] for references of these applications. Semilinear integro-differential equations have become an active area of research, for example in the framework of control theory as well in order to solve noncooperative system, arisen in the classical FitzHugh-Nagumo systems, see e.g. [2, 14, 5]. In case that the kernel k= k(x,y) is symmetric (and H(s) = s), the problem is of variational type and a solution can be found by the Mountain Pass Theorem if the $L^p \times L^p$ norm is sufficiently small, see [6] for p = 2. Motivated by the above papers and the results in [14, 15], we consider (4) to study the existence of weak solutions, but with non-symmetric kernels, then the problem has no variational structure; so, the most usual variational techniques can not be used. To attack problem (4) we will use the Galerkin method through the following version of the Brouwer fixed -point theorem whose proof may be found in Lions (see [21, lemma 4.3]). **Proposition 1** Suppose that $F : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a continuous function such that $\langle F(\xi), \xi \rangle \ge 0$ on $|\xi| = r$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual inner product in \mathbb{R}^m and $|\cdot|$ is its related norm. Then, there exists $z_0 \in \overline{B_r}(0)$ such that $F(z_0) = 0$.

1 Main results and proofs

Since we are preoccupied with the existence of weak solutions of the problem (4) we begin giving the definition of such solutions.

Definition 1 A weak solution of problem (4) is any $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\left[M\left(\|u\|_{1,p}^{p}\right)\right]^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x,u) v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(y,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(y,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(y,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(y,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx) dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(y,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (h(y,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) dx + \int_{\Omega} (h(y,y)H(u(y)) \, dy + \int_{\Omega} (h(y,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) dx + \int_{\Omega} (h(y,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) dx + \int_{\Omega} (h(y,y)H(u(y)) \, dy + \int_{\Omega} (h(y,y)H(u(y)) \, dy) dx + \int_{\Omega} (h(y,y)H(u(y)) \, dy + \int_{\Omega} (h(y,y)H(u($$

for all $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Our main result is given by the following theorem

Theorem 1 Let us assume that conditions (M)–(F)–(H) and (K) hold. If $||k||_{L^p(\Omega \times \Omega)}$ is sufficiently small and the function f satisfies

$$f(x,u)u \le a|u|^p + b|u| \tag{5}$$

for some constants a, b > 0 with $m_0^{p-1} - a\lambda_1^{-1} - |k|_{L^p(\Omega \times \Omega)}c_{rp'}^r c_{p'}c_2 > 0$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ where λ_1 is the biggest constant satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, dx \le \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx; \ \forall \, u \ne 0, \, u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega),$$

(see [19]), and c_{ξ} is the Sobolev constant for the embedding $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\xi}(\Omega)$ where $\xi \in [1, \frac{Np}{N-p}[$; then problem (4) has at least one weak solution. Besides, any solution of (4) satisfies the estimate

$$||u||_{1,p} \le R_1 = \max\left\{1, \left(\frac{b|\Omega|^{1/p'}\lambda_1^{-1/p}}{m_0^{p-1} - a\lambda_1^{-1} - |k|_{L^p(\Omega \times \Omega)}c_{rp'}^r c_{p'}c_2}\right)^{1/(p-1)}\right\}$$
(6)

Proof. Let $\{w_{\nu}\}_{\nu\geq 1}$ be a Schauder's basis for $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the finite dimensional space

$$\mathbb{V}_m = \operatorname{span}\{w_1, \ldots, w_m\}.$$

Since $(\mathbb{V}_m, \|\cdot\|)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^m, |\cdot|)$ are isometric and isomorphic, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the usual norm in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $|\cdot|$ is the Euclidian norm in \mathbb{R}^m , we make the identification

$$u_m = \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_j w_j \longleftrightarrow \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m), \quad ||u|| = |\xi|.$$

We will show that for each m there is $u_m \in \mathbb{V}_m$, an approximate solution of (4), satisfying

 $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m.$

To solve this algebraic system in m unknowns $\xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_m$, we consider the function $F : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ given by

$$F(\xi) = (F_1(\xi), \dots, F_m(\xi)),$$

$$F_j(\xi) = \left[M\left(\|u\|_{1,p}^p\right)\right]^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla w_j \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) w_j \, dx - \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} (k(x, y) H(u(y)) dy) w_j \, dx$$

where j = 1, 2, 3, ..., m. and $u \in V_m$

We note that F is continuous from the continuity of M, f(x,u) and $\int_\Omega k(x,y)H(u)dy,$ with respect to u .

Therefore, from the hypotheses we have

if $||u||_{1,p} = R$, for R large enough. Thus, because of **Proposition 1** there is $u_m \in \mathbb{V}_m$, $||u_m||_{1,p} \leq R$, where R does not depend on m, such that u_m is a solution of (7).

Let us prove that the sequence $(u_m)_{m\geq 1} \subseteq W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ has a convergent subsequence which converges to a solution of (4). Indeed, since (u_m) is bounded, there exists a subsequence, still denoted by (u_m) , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{1,p}^{p} &\longrightarrow \gamma, \text{ for some } \gamma, \\ u_{m} &\rightharpoonup u, \quad \text{in} \quad W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ u_{m} &\longrightarrow u, \quad \text{in} \quad L^{q}(\Omega), \ 1 \leq q < p^{*}, \\ u_{m} &\longrightarrow u, \qquad a.e \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

$$(9)$$

In view of continuity of M

$$[M(\|u_m\|_{1,p}^p)]^{p-1} \longrightarrow [M(\gamma)]^{p-1}$$

$$\tag{10}$$

and the continuity of the Nemytskii map

$$f(., u_m) \longrightarrow f(., u) \quad in \quad L^q(\Omega).$$
 (11)

But under the assumption (K) we have

$$|k(x,y)|_p = (\int_{\Omega} |k(x,y)|^p dy)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty; i.e, \qquad k(x,y) \in L^p(\Omega)$$

for fixed $x \in \Omega$ and noting that $(H(u_m))_{m \ge 1}$ is bounded in $L^{p'}(\Omega)$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, we obtain, up to a subsequence, that

$$H(u_m) \rightarrow H(u) \text{ in } L^{p'}(\Omega)$$
 (12)

Therefore, for $x \in \Omega$ we get

$$\int_{\Omega} k(x,y) H(u_m(y)) \, dy \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} k(x,y) H(u(y)) \, dy, \qquad a.e.$$

Also, we can easily prove that

$$\left|\int_{\Omega} k(x,y)H(u_m(y))\,dy\right|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)} < +\infty.$$

Then, by Lemma 1.3 in [21], we have

$$\int_{\Omega} k(x,y) H(u_m(y)) \, dy \rightharpoonup \int_{\Omega} k(x,y) H(u(y)) \, dy \tag{13}$$

weakly in $L^{p'}(\Omega)$.

From the theory of monotone operators and reasoning similarly as in [8], we get

$$[M(\|u_m\|_{1,p}^p)]^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_m|^{p-2} \nabla u_m \cdot \nabla w \, dx \longrightarrow [M(\gamma)]^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla w \, dx \tag{14}$$

 $\forall w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$

Now fixing $l \leq m, V_l \subseteq V_m$, letting $m \longrightarrow +\infty$ in (7), and using (10)–(14), we conclude that

$$[M(\gamma))]^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla w_l \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) w_l \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} k(x, y) H(u) dy) w_l \, dx, l = 1, 2, \dots (15)$$

From the completeness of $\{w_{\nu}\}_{\nu\geq 1}$, the identity (15) holds with w_l replaced by any $w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

In particular, when w = u we get

$$[M(\gamma))]^{p-1} \|u\|_{1,p}^p = \int_{\Omega} f(x,u)u \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} k(x,y)H(u)dy)u \, dx.$$
(16)

On the other hand, taking $w_j = u_m$ in (7) and passing to the limit, we obtain

$$[M(\gamma))]^{p-1}\gamma = \int_{\Omega} f(x,u)udx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} k(x,y)H(u)dy)udx.$$
(17)

Comparing equations (16) and (17) we get

$$[M(\gamma)]^{p-1}\gamma = [M(\gamma)]^{p-1} ||u||_{1,p}^p.$$

Then we conclude $\gamma = ||u||_{1,p}^p$.

Therefore, from (15) (with $w_l = w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$)

$$M(\|u\|_{1,p}^{p})]^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla w \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x,u) w \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} k(x,y) H(u(y)) dy) w \, dx \quad (18)$$

for all $w \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, which shows that u is a weak solution of (4). Finally, if u is any solution of (4), then

$$M(||u||_{1,p}^{p})]^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p} dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x,u)u \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\int_{\Omega} k(x,y)H(u(y))dy)u \, dx.$$

Therefore, either $||u||_{1,p} \leq 1$ or

$$m_0^{p-1} \|u\|_{1,p}^p \le \frac{a}{\lambda_1} \|u\|_{1,p}^p + b|\Omega|^{1/p'} \lambda^{-1/p} \|u\|_{1,p} + |k|_{L^p(\Omega \times \Omega)} c_{rp'}^r c_{p'} c_2 \|u\|_{1,p}^{r+1}.$$
 (19)

Then

$$\left(m_{0}^{p-1} - a\lambda_{1}^{-1} - |k|_{L^{p}(\Omega \times \Omega)}c_{rp'}^{r}c_{p'}c_{2}\right)\|u\|_{1,p}^{p} \le b|\Omega|^{1/p'}\lambda^{-1/p}\|u\|_{1,p}$$
(20)

and (6) follows. \Box

2 Uniqueness of weak solutions

In this section, we are going to consider problem (4) when the exponent p satisfies

$$\frac{2N}{2+N}$$

To establish the uniqueness of weak solutions, we need the following lemma (see [17]).

Lemma 1 If $p \in]1, 2]$, then it holds

i)
$$||z|^{p-2}z - |y|^{p-2}y| \le \beta |z-y|^{p-1}$$

ii)
$$\langle |z|^{p-2}z - |y|^{p-2}y|, z - y \rangle \ge (p-1)|z - y|^2 \left(|z|^p + |y|^p\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}$$

for all $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with β independent of y and z.

Theorem 2 Let the assumptions of theorem (1) hold with (5) replaced by

$$(f(x,u) - f(x,v))(u-v) \le 0 \quad \forall \ x \in \Omega; \forall \ u, v \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(22)

Let us assume, in addition, that M is Lipschitz on $[0, R_1^p]$, where R_1 is defined in (6), and H is a C^1 -function such that $|H'(s)| \leq c_3 |s|^{r-1}$, $c_3 > 0$. Then if the Lipschitz constant L_M of M is small enough, problem (4) has exactly one solution. **Proof.** We will follow some ideas in [1], adapted to our case.

The part of existence follows from theorem 1. Now, let u_1 and u_2 be two solutions to the problem. Introduce the function $u = u_1 - u_2$. Taking it for the test-function in the integral identities for u_1 and u_2 , we obtain the relation

$$\left[M \Big(\|u_1\|_{1,p}^p \Big) \right]^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} \Big(|\nabla u_1|^{p-2} \nabla u_1 - |\nabla u_2|^{p-2} \nabla u_2 \Big) (\nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (f(x, u_1) - f(x, u_2)) (u_1 - u_2) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} k(x, y) (H(u_1(y)) - H(u_2(y))) (u_1 - u_2) \, dy \, dx \\ + \left\{ \left[M \Big(\|u_2\|_{1,p}^p \Big) \right]^{p-1} - \left[M \Big(\|u_1\|_{1,p}^p \Big) \right]^{p-1} \right\} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_2|^{p-2} \nabla u_2 (\nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2) \, dx$$

Now, using the hypotheses on M, H, K and Lemma 1, after some calculations we have

$$m_{0}^{p-1}(p-1)\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}\left(|\nabla u_{1}|^{p}+|\nabla u_{2}|^{p}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}dx \leq c_{3}\int_{\Omega}\int_{\Omega}|k(x,y)|\left(|u_{1}|^{r-1}+|u_{2}|^{r-1}\right)|u|^{2}dy\,dx+\beta L_{M}^{p-1}p\left[\left(\|u_{1}\|_{1,p}+\|u_{2}\|_{1,p}\right)\|u_{2}\|_{1,p}\right]^{p-1}\|u\|_{1,p}^{2},$$

$$(23)$$

where $\beta = \max_{s \in [0, R_1^p]} M(s)$. It follows from Holder's inequality and the Sobolev immersions that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |k(x,y)| |u_1|^{r-1} |u|^2 \, dy \, dx \right| \le \|k\|_{L^p} |u_1|_{(r-1)p'}^{r-1} |u|_{2p'}^2 \le c_{(r-1)p'}^{r-1} c_{2p'}^2 \|k\|_{L^p} \|u_1\|_{1,p}^{r-1} \|u\|_{1,p}^2$$

where we take $\frac{1}{2p'} \ge \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{N}$. Similar inequality is obtained for u_2 . Let us take a constant $q \in \left(\frac{p}{2}, 1\right) \subseteq \left(\frac{2N}{2+N}, 1\right)$. Using the inverse Holder's inequality for every constant $q \in (0, 1)$

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |g|^{\frac{q}{q-1}} dx\right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^{q} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le \int_{\Omega} |f||g| dx$$

in (23), we obtain

$$m_{0}^{p-1}(p-1)\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{2q} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u_{1}|^{p} + |\nabla u_{2}|^{p}\right)^{\frac{2-p}{p} \cdot \frac{q}{1-q}} dx\right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \leq \left(2c_{3}c_{(r-1)p'}^{r-1}c_{2p'}^{2} \|k\|_{L^{p}} R_{1}^{r-1} + 2^{2p-3}R_{1}^{2p-2}C_{\beta}L_{M}m_{1}^{p-2}\right) \|u\|_{1,p}^{2}$$

$$(24)$$

Since p < 2q < 2, again using Holder's inequality and the Sobolev embedding $W^{1,2q} \hookrightarrow W^{1,p}$ and noting that $\frac{2-p}{p} \cdot \frac{q}{1-q} \leq 1$, we obtain

$$\underbrace{\left(m_{0}^{p-1}(p-1)C_{2q}^{-2}|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{\theta}}2^{\frac{p-2}{2}}R_{1}^{p-2}\right)}_{e_{0}}\|u\|_{1,p}^{2} \leq \underbrace{\left(2c_{3}c_{(r-1)p'}^{r-1}c_{2p'}^{2}\|k\|_{L^{p}}R_{1}^{r-1}+2^{2p-3}R_{1}^{2p-2}C_{\beta}L_{M}m_{1}^{p-2}\right)}_{e_{1}}\|u\|_{1,p}^{2}$$

$$\underbrace{\left(2c_{3}c_{(r-1)p'}^{r-1}c_{2p'}^{2}\|k\|_{L^{p}}R_{1}^{r-1}+2^{2p-3}R_{1}^{2p-2}C_{\beta}L_{M}m_{1}^{p-2}\right)}_{e_{1}}\|u\|_{1,p}^{2}$$

$$\underbrace{\left(2c_{3}c_{(r-1)p'}^{r-1}c_{2p'}^{2}\|k\|_{L^{p}}R_{1}^{r-1}+2^{2p-3}R_{1}^{2p-2}C_{\beta}L_{M}m_{1}^{p-2}\right)}_{e_{1}}\|u\|_{1,p}^{2}$$

with $\theta = 1 - \frac{(2-p)q}{p(1-q)}$. Hence it follows that

$$(e_0 - e_1) \|u\|_{1,p}^2 = 0. (26)$$

Therefore, if $||k||_{L^p}$ and L_M are small enough, we conclude that $||u||_{1,p} = 0$, and so u = 0.

Acknowledgement The authors would like to express their deep thanks to the anonymous referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and invaluable suggestions for the revision of the manuscript. This work is partially supported by the Proyecto de Investigación N^0 141401091, UNMSM-FCM.

References

- S. Antontsev and S. Shmarev, Elliptic equations and systems with nonstandard growth conditions: Existence, uniqueness and localization properties of solutions, Nonlinear Anal. 65(2006), 728–761
- [2] C. O Alves and D.G Figuereido, "Nonvariational elliptics systems via Galerkin methods, Function Spaces, differential Operators and non linear analysis", in the Hans Tridbel Anniversary Volume(Birkhauser Verlag 1. Switzerland, 2003), pp. 47-57.
- [3] A. Arosio, S. Panizzi, On the well-posedness of the Kirchhoff string, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 348(1996), pp. 305–330.
- [4] C. O. Alves, F. J. S. A. Corrêa, T. F. Ma, Positive solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type, Comput. Math. Appl. 49 (2005), pp. 85–93.
- [5] K. Balachandran, R. Sakthivel, Controllability of functional semilinear integrodifferential systems in Banach space, J.Math. Anal. Appl, 255(2001) n.2, pp. 447–457.
- [6] M. Biroli, M. Matzeu, S. Mataloni, Stability results for Mountain Pass and Linking type solutions of semilinear problems involving Dirichlet forms, NoDEA, 12 (2005), Issue 3, pp. 295-321.
- [7] S. Bernstein, Sur une classe d'équations fonctionnelles aux dérivées partielles, Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS. Ser. Math. [Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR] 4 (1940), pp. 17–26.
- [8] F. J. S. A. Corrêa, R.G. Nascimento, On the Existence of Solutions of a Nonlocal Elliptic Equation with a p-Kirchhoff-Type Term, Int.J.Math. Sc. (2008), Article ID, 364085, doi:10.1155/2008/364085.
- [9] F. J. S. A. Corrêa, G. M. Figueiredo, On a Elliptic equation of p-Kirchhoff type via variational methods, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 74(2006), pp. 263–277

- [10] F. J. S. A. Corrêa, R.G. Nascimento, On a nonlocal elliptic system of p-Kirchhoff-type under Neumann boundary condition, Math.Comp. Modell. 49(2009), pp. 598-604.
- [11] M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. Cavalcanti, J.A. Soriano, Global existence and uniform decay rates for the Kirchhoff-Carrier equation with nonlinear dissipation, Adv. Differential Equations 6 (2001), pp. 701–730.
- [12] M. Chipot and B. Lovat, Some remarks on non local elliptic and parabolic problems, Nonlinear Anal. 30 (1997), pp. 4619–4627.
- [13] G. Kirchhoff, Mechanik, Teubner, Leipzig, (1883).
- [14] S. Mataloni, M. Matzeu, Semilinear integrodifferential problems with non-symetric kernels via mountain-pass techniques, Adv. Nonlin. Stud.5 (1) (2005), pp. 23-32.
- [15] G. Molica Bisci, V. Radulescu, Mountain pass solutions for nonlocal equations, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae, in press.
- [16] D. G. De Figueiredo, Semilinear elliptic systems: a survey of superlinear problems, Resenhas 2 (1996), pp. 373–391.
- [17] R. Glowinski, A. Marroco, Sur l'approximation par éléments finis d'ordre un, et la résolution, par penalisation dualité, d'une classe de problémes de Dirichlet non linéaires, Univ. Paris VI et Centre National de la Recherche Scientique, 189, nr. 74023.
- [18] S. I. Pohožaev, A certain class of quasilinear hyperbolic equations, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 96 (1975),pp. 152–166.
- [19] P. Lindqvist, On the equation $-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + \lambda |u|^{p-2}u = 0$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 109 (1990) 157164.
- [20] J. L. Lions, On some equations in boundary value problems of mathematical physics, in: Contemporary Developments in Continuum Mechanics and Partial Differential Equations (Proc. Internat. Sympos., Inst. Mat. Univ. Fed. Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, 1977), North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 30, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978, pp. 284– 346.
- [21] J. Lions, Quelques Méthodes de résolution des Problémes aux limites nonlinéaires, Dunod, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [22] R. Stańczy, Nonlocal elliptic equations, Nonlinear Anal. 47 (2001), pp. 3579-3584.
- [23] T. F. Ma, J. E. Muñoz Rivera, Positive solutions for a nonlinear nonlocal elliptic transmission problem, Appl. Math. Lett. 16 (2003), pp. 243–248.

- [24] C. Liu, J. Wang and Q. Gao, Existence of nontrivial solutions for p-Kirchhoff type equations, Bound.Val.Prob. (2013), 2013:279.
- [25] Y.Yang and J. Zhang, Existence results for a class of nonlocal problems involving p-Laplacian. Bound Val. Prob.(2011), 2011:32.